

CONSTRUCTIVIST THEORY IN MPA CASE TEACHING: AN APPLIED STUDY

WeiYe Chen*, ZhiMin He

School of Public Administration, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, Nanchang 330013, Jiangxi, China.

Corresponding Author: WeiYe Chen, Email: 2202310029@stu.jxufe.edu.cn

Abstract: This study investigates the application of constructivist learning theory in Master of Public Administration (MPA) case teaching to bridge the theory-practice gap and enhance professional judgment capabilities. Integrating cognitive constructivism, social constructivism, and situated learning, this research develops a systematic framework encompassing case design, instructional process, implementation strategies, and assessment mechanisms. Findings suggest that effective constructivist case teaching requires a holistic approach, integrating well-structured case materials, interactive facilitation, and institutional support. Faculty development emerges as a critical challenge, necessitating structured professional training. Additionally, students' transition to constructivist learning requires scaffolding to foster adaptive expertise and critical thinking. This study contributes to MPA education reform by challenging transmission-based models and advocating for reflective, problem-solving-oriented pedagogies to prepare students for complex governance environments.

Keywords: Constructivist learning; MPA case teaching; Pedagogical innovation; Knowledge construction; Faculty development; Professional competencies; Institutional support

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background and Objectives

Contemporary public administration education faces unprecedented challenges in cultivating professionals capable of navigating governance complexities within increasingly volatile socio-political environments. As specialized professional degree programs designed to develop high-level public service talents, Master of Public Administration (MPA) programs must effectively bridge theoretical knowledge with practical application while fostering critical competencies essential for public governance. Traditional pedagogical approaches in MPA education have demonstrated significant limitations in developing analytical thinking, problem-solving capabilities, and decision-making skills required for effective public administration practice in contemporary contexts. This research establishes the foundation for applying constructivist learning theory to MPA case teaching by examining theoretical underpinnings, implementation challenges, and potential educational impacts of this integration.

The evolution of public governance has generated complex challenges requiring adaptive, innovative approaches that transcend traditional administrative paradigms. MPA education, as a cornerstone of professional public administration preparation, must respond to these shifting demands through pedagogical innovation. Empirical evidence demonstrates that case teaching has emerged as a particularly effective approach in professional degree education, with significant potential for application in MPA programs. Guo, Fang, and Zhu conducted an empirical analysis based on nationwide survey data of MPA graduates, utilizing propensity score matching and ordered logistic regression models. Their findings reveal that case teaching has statistically significant positive effects on MPA graduates' development of six critical professional competencies: public service awareness, theoretical knowledge application, decision-making capabilities, communication skills, innovation capacity, and emergency response abilities — with particularly pronounced effects on decision-making skills development [1]. This empirical validation underscores the strategic importance of case teaching in professional competency development for public administration practitioners.

Despite its demonstrated efficacy, the implementation of case teaching in MPA programs confronts substantial challenges that limit its transformative potential. Chen and Gao identify three primary systemic barriers: inadequate case materials that fail to align with learning requirements, underdeveloped institutional support systems, and implementation processes that frequently diverge from pedagogical expectations [2]. This assessment aligns with Zhang and Wang's analysis highlighting additional implementation challenges including excessive dependence on case text quality, insufficient pre-class preparation assessment mechanisms, inadequate knowledge reasoning processes, and underdeveloped decision-making scenario construction capabilities [3]. Shan further identifies sustainability challenges in promoting MPA case teaching, including insufficient case quantity, inadequate quality improvement mechanisms, high requirements for teacher skills, and underdeveloped sustainability guarantees [4]. These implementation barriers suggest the need for a more robust theoretical foundation and systematic implementation framework for MPA case teaching.

The integration of constructivist learning principles offers promising potential for addressing these implementation challenges while enhancing the effectiveness of MPA case teaching. Song and Pan articulate this potential by analyzing case teaching through complementary ontological and constructivist lenses, examining the transmission of declarative, procedural, and constructive knowledge in case-based learning environments [5]. Their conceptualization of case

learning communities involving multiple stakeholders — students, faculty, and administrators — provides a valuable framework for reimagining MPA case teaching within constructivist paradigms. The constructivist emphasis on active knowledge construction, collaborative learning, and authentic problem-solving aligns naturally with the professional competency development objectives of MPA programs, suggesting significant potential for pedagogical innovation through this theoretical integration.

The specific research objectives include:

Analyzing theoretical alignment between constructivist learning principles and MPA educational objectives to establish a robust conceptual foundation for pedagogical innovation

Developing an integrated framework for designing and implementing constructivist-based case teaching in MPA programs that addresses identified implementation challenges

Identifying practical strategies for creating constructivist learning environments that facilitate MPA students' knowledge construction processes and professional competency development

Examining potential impacts of constructivist-based case teaching approaches on MPA students' learning outcomes and professional capabilities through empirical assessment

1.2 Literature Review

1.2.1 Foundations of constructivist learning theory

Constructivist learning theory represents a paradigmatic shift from traditional transmission-oriented educational approaches toward learner-centered knowledge construction processes. The theoretical foundations of constructivism derive from multiple disciplinary traditions, with particularly significant contributions from developmental psychology, cognitive science, and educational philosophy. Piaget's constructivist framework establishes fundamental concepts — schema, assimilation, accommodation, and equilibrium — that elucidate cognitive development processes and learning progression. Zhang, Dai, and Li explicate these Piagetian constructs in educational contexts, emphasizing the significance of process-oriented learning approaches that integrate experiential abstraction with reflective abstraction while adhering to developmental principles and fostering critical thinking capacities [6]. They advocate for mathematical instruction that focuses on process education, organically combines experiential and reflective abstraction, follows the stages of cognitive development, and emphasizes the cultivation of students' critical thinking abilities.

The application of constructivist principles across diverse disciplinary contexts demonstrates their versatility and pedagogical effectiveness. Xiao and Luo document the implementation of constructivist-based task-driven and project-driven teaching methodologies in computer science education, showing significant enhancement of student learning initiative, self-directed learning capabilities, and collaborative competencies [7]. Their research reveals that traditional teaching methods often yield suboptimal results in programming education, whereas constructivist approaches utilizing project-based learning and task-driven methodologies effectively mobilize students' learning initiative and creativity. Through combining project teaching as the primary approach and task-driven methods as supplementary, students develop enhanced self-learning abilities, teamwork skills, and practical application capabilities [7].

Similar applications in management education examined by Chao and Zhang illustrate the effectiveness of constructivist principles in flipped classroom environments, incorporating structured pre-class knowledge acquisition, in-class knowledge internalization processes, and post-class application extensions [8]. Using "Situational Leadership Theory" as an example, they designed a flipped classroom model based on constructivist learning theory, emphasizing pre-class knowledge transmission, in-class knowledge internalization, and post-class application extension. Their research indicates that flipped classrooms enhance students' independent learning abilities and improve their skills in addressing management practice problems.

These diverse applications suggest the transferability of constructivist principles to public administration education contexts, particularly in case-based learning environments that emphasize authentic problem-solving and professional competency development. Li operationalizes these theoretical principles in professional education contexts through a comprehensive framework encompassing learning objectives, content design, methodological approaches, and assessment systems that activate student initiative and enhance practical application capabilities [9]. Her exploration of constructivist-based case teaching in "Tourism Service Management" courses demonstrates how this approach can effectively address the practical and applied nature of professional education, designing teaching objectives, content, methods, and evaluation systems that activate student initiative and enhance practical application abilities.

1.2.2 MPA case teaching practices and innovations

The landscape of MPA case teaching reveals diverse implementation approaches and innovative pedagogical practices that provide valuable insights for constructivist applications. Fan documents the comprehensive case teaching implementation at Renmin University of China, highlighting four critical success factors: case selection criteria emphasizing authenticity, comprehensiveness, contradiction, and representativeness; effective integration of faculty guidance with student initiative; appropriate class size management; and robust institutional support structures [10]. Through online questionnaire surveys, the research demonstrates high student satisfaction with the comprehensive case teaching model, confirming its effectiveness in enhancing student capabilities.

Innovative case teaching models further illustrate the potential for constructivist applications in MPA education. Liu, Wei, and Pei propose a "unity of knowledge and practice" case teaching model emphasizing integrated educational concepts, interconnected teaching processes, and comprehensive regulatory frameworks [11]. Their six-phase

implementation approach — selection, collection, composition, analysis, presentation, and verification — provides a structured methodology for developing student capabilities in theoretical knowledge application and practical problem-solving through case-based learning. This model addresses current challenges in MPA case teaching, including inadequate conceptual transformation, lack of diversification and innovation in teaching modes, and underdeveloped support systems.

Similarly, Tan advances a "teaching-learning community" double helix model from an explicitly constructivist perspective, incorporating five key elements: situational creation, problem identification, independent learning, collaborative inquiry, and outcome evaluation [12]. This model emphasizes the transformed role of faculty as facilitators and listeners while prioritizing student critical thinking development and active knowledge construction processes, highlighting the joint production of knowledge and enhancement of student capabilities through constructivist approaches.

Emerging technological innovations present additional opportunities for enhancing constructivist case teaching in MPA programs. Zhang and Wang propose comprehensive big data applications for MPA case teaching, including case teaching database cluster development, enhanced knowledge reasoning processes, simulation-based decision-making environments, case reuse and on-demand retrieval systems, and intensified knowledge management in classroom instruction [3]. These technological innovations create opportunities for more dynamic, responsive learning environments aligned with constructivist principles of authentic problem-solving and active knowledge construction.

1.2.3 Implementation challenges and strategies

The effective implementation of constructivist case teaching in MPA programs requires addressing persistent challenges through strategic institutional and pedagogical responses. Jin identifies tacit knowledge embedded in teaching content and instructional techniques as a fundamental barrier to widespread adoption of case teaching in MPA programs [13]. Her analysis suggests that knowledge transformation processes — socialization, externalization, combination, and internalization — can facilitate the conversion of tacit knowledge into explicit forms, with reflective teaching journals and case teaching communities serving as effective transformation vehicles. This knowledge management perspective offers valuable insights for addressing implementation barriers through systematic knowledge sharing and community development practices.

Faculty development represents another critical dimension of implementation strategy. Pei, Gou, Fu, and Zhao analyze challenges in case teaching faculty development, including insufficient faculty team scale, limited high-quality case development, and underdeveloped case teaching competencies among MPA faculty [14]. Using Henan University of Economics and Law's MPA education as a case study, they identify issues including insufficient faculty team development, inadequate self-developed quality cases, and MPA teachers' case teaching abilities requiring improvement. Their strategic recommendations emphasize establishing clear case teaching faculty standards, expanding faculty team development, and enhancing case teaching capabilities through systematic professional development initiatives.

These faculty development strategies align with Li's comprehensive reform recommendations focusing on case writing standards enhancement and case teaching technique development to create authentic learning environments that facilitate student knowledge construction and problem-solving skill development [15]. Her research comprehensively analyzes the basic characteristics and types of case teaching methods in public administration instruction, emphasizing how case teaching simulates or recreates real-life scenarios, enabling students to engage in discussion and learning within case contexts. From case selection and interactive classroom design to teaching scenario preparation, the research provides detailed operational frameworks for implementing case teaching in public administration education.

Sustainability challenges identified by Shan require coordinated responses across multiple institutional levels, including national guidance committees, professional degree teaching centers, institutional training units, and individual faculty members [4]. This multi-level approach to advancing case teaching in MPA professional degree education highlights the importance of policy coordination, resource allocation, and institutional alignment in creating sustainable conditions for implementing constructivist case teaching approaches. The complex, interdependent nature of these implementation challenges necessitates integrated strategic responses that address pedagogical, institutional, and policy dimensions simultaneously.

1.3 Research Significance

The significance of this research extends beyond theoretical contribution to practical application in MPA education contexts. By integrating constructivist learning principles with case teaching methodologies, this research offers potential pathways for enhancing the effectiveness of professional preparation in public administration. The resulting pedagogical framework provides MPA programs with theoretically grounded, practically applicable approaches for developing critical thinking, problem-solving, and decision-making capabilities essential for effective public administration practice in contemporary governance contexts.

This research addresses a significant gap in the literature by systematically integrating constructivist learning theory with MPA case teaching practices. While previous research has documented the effectiveness of case teaching in developing professional competencies [1] and identified implementation challenges [2,3], limited attention has been given to developing a comprehensive theoretical framework specifically tailored to MPA education contexts. By synthesizing insights from constructivist learning theory, case teaching methodologies, and public administration education research, this study provides a theoretically robust and practically viable framework for enhancing the effectiveness of MPA case teaching.

Furthermore, this research contributes to educational practice by identifying specific strategies for implementing constructivist case teaching approaches in MPA programs. Drawing on documented effective practices [10-12] and addressing identified implementation challenges [13,14,4], the research provides practical guidance for case design, facilitation processes, faculty development, and institutional support systems. These practical contributions directly address the need for systematic implementation frameworks identified in the literature, offering pathways for enhancing the effectiveness of MPA education through constructivist case teaching approaches.

Through systematic integration of these literature findings, this research establishes a comprehensive foundation for developing a constructivist framework for MPA case teaching that addresses identified challenges while leveraging documented effective practices. The subsequent theoretical framework synthesizes these insights into a coherent model specifically adapted to the unique requirements of public administration professional education.

2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: CONSTRUCTIVIST PRINCIPLES IN MPA CASE TEACHING

2.1 Conceptual Foundations and Integration

This research integrates constructivist learning principles with MPA educational requirements to create a coherent theoretical foundation for case teaching innovation. Rather than representing a monolithic theory, constructivism encompasses related perspectives sharing common epistemological assumptions about knowledge acquisition. The framework synthesizes three complementary perspectives: cognitive constructivism (focusing on individual mental construction processes), social constructivism (emphasizing social interaction in knowledge development), and situated cognition theories (highlighting authentic contextual learning).

At its epistemological core, this framework conceptualizes knowledge not as a passive, transmissible commodity, but as actively constructed through engagement with authentic problems. This perspective aligns with MPA programs' objectives of developing practitioners capable of navigating complex administrative challenges that defy simplistic solutions. The constructivist emphasis on knowledge as fluid, adaptive meaning-making provides a theoretical foundation for developing the adaptive expertise essential for public administration practice.

The framework further incorporates sociocultural dimensions of learning, recognizing that knowledge construction occurs within communities of practice where shared understanding emerges through collaborative meaning negotiation. This social constructivist element acknowledges that public administration competencies develop through interaction within professional communities. For MPA case teaching, this perspective informs the design of learning environments that simulate collaborative decision-making contexts graduates will encounter in professional practice.

2.2 Core Theoretical Constructs

The framework operationalizes four key constructivist constructs specifically adapted to MPA case teaching contexts:

2.2.1 Scaffolding knowledge construction

Scaffolding serves as a central theoretical construct, defined as temporary support structures that enable learners to engage with complex administrative problems slightly beyond their independent capabilities. This extends Vygotsky's concept of the zone of proximal development to professional education, recognizing that effective MPA case teaching requires calibrated challenge levels with sufficient support to prevent cognitive overload. Operationally, scaffolded knowledge construction manifests in strategically sequenced case complexity, guided questioning techniques, collaborative problem-solving structures, and progressive fading of instructional support as learner competence develops.

In MPA case teaching, scaffolding takes multiple forms: cognitive scaffolds providing conceptual frameworks and analytical tools; procedural scaffolds guiding students through complex decision processes; metacognitive scaffolds promoting reflection on learning; and strategic scaffolds supporting transfer across governance contexts. This multi-dimensional approach addresses the complex nature of administrative problem-solving, requiring integration of theoretical knowledge, procedural expertise, reflective capacity, and strategic thinking.

2.2.2 Authentic problem-based learning

Authenticity constitutes a critical theoretical construct, defined as the degree to which case-based learning experiences replicate essential features of actual public administration practice. Drawing from situated cognition theory, this construct emphasizes that learning is bound to the contexts in which knowledge will ultimately be applied. For MPA case teaching, authenticity requires careful identification and incorporation of administrative problems' defining characteristics—their complexity, ambiguity, value-laden nature, multiple stakeholder perspectives, resource constraints, and political dimensions.

Operationally, authentic problem-based learning manifests in case materials drawn from genuine administrative contexts, incorporation of real-world constraints, inclusion of competing stakeholder perspectives, attention to political dimensions, and assessment approaches that evaluate performance on realistic administrative tasks. The framework conceptualizes authenticity as existing on a continuum rather than as a binary quality, with different dimensions potentially varying across implementations.

2.2.3 Metacognitive self-regulation

Metacognitive self-regulation encompasses learners' ability to plan, monitor, evaluate, and adjust their learning and problem-solving processes—capabilities crucial in the ambiguous, ill-structured problem environments characteristic of

public governance. The framework conceptualizes metacognition not merely as an individual cognitive process but as a socially distributed capacity that develops through explicit articulation and collaborative reflection.

In MPA case teaching, metacognitive self-regulation operationalizes through case debriefing protocols prompting articulation of decision rationales, comparative analysis of alternative approaches, structured reflection activities connecting cases to theoretical frameworks, peer evaluation processes exposing students to diverse analytical perspectives, and progressive development of self-assessment capabilities. The framework particularly emphasizes developing metacognitive awareness of how administrative values and personal assumptions influence problem framing and solution development.

2.2.4 Collaborative knowledge construction

Collaborative knowledge construction recognizes the fundamentally social nature of administrative practice and learning. This construct encompasses processes through which groups collectively develop shared understanding, negotiate meaning, reconcile diverse perspectives, and co-create solutions to complex problems. The framework conceptualizes collaborative knowledge construction as the transformative integration of multiple perspectives to generate emergent understanding exceeding what individual learners could produce independently.

Operationally, this manifests in case teaching approaches incorporating structured role assignments reflecting diverse stakeholder perspectives, jigsaw techniques creating positive interdependence, consensus-building protocols requiring negotiation of conflicting viewpoints, and assessment approaches evaluating both individual contributions and collective outcomes. Effective collaboration requires careful attention to group composition, explicit instruction in collaborative skills, mechanisms ensuring individual accountability within collective work, and assessment approaches recognizing both individual and collective learning dimensions.

2.3 Theoretical Integration Model

The framework synthesizes these constructs into an integrated model specifically tailored to MPA case teaching contexts. This model conceptualizes constructivist case teaching as a dynamic, recursive process progressing through four interconnected phases:

- **Contextual Engagement:** Establishes authentic problem environments and activates prior knowledge and experience. Drawing from situated cognition theory, this phase creates immersive case environments while connecting to students' existing understanding.
- **Collaborative Exploration:** Engages learners in generating multiple perspectives, identifying relevant theoretical frameworks, and articulating alternative interpretations. Social constructivist principles inform this phase's emphasis on dialogic learning processes and perspective-taking.
- **Integrative Construction:** Focuses on developing, testing, and refining potential administrative solutions through active experimentation and theoretical knowledge application. Drawing from cognitive constructivist principles, this phase emphasizes transforming abstract concepts into practical approaches through cycles of hypothesis generation, testing, and refinement.
- **Reflective Consolidation:** Promotes metacognitive development through explicit articulation of learning processes, critical evaluation of solution effectiveness, identification of transferable principles, and integration with broader theoretical frameworks. This phase develops the critical self-awareness essential for administrative expertise.

Unlike linear instructional models, these phases represent overlapping processes rather than strictly sequential stages, with continuous movement between phases as learning develops. The model specifically accounts for MPA education's distinctive features, including its professional orientation, practice-focused curriculum, diverse student populations with varying professional experiences, and unique position at the intersection of academic and practitioner communities.

2.4 Theoretical Propositions

The framework generates seven theoretical propositions regarding constructivist case teaching in MPA education:

1. **Integration Proposition:** MPA case teaching effectiveness increases when constructivist principles are systematically integrated across multiple dimensions rather than implemented as isolated techniques.
2. **Authenticity Proposition:** Learning transferability to administrative practice increases proportionally with case materials' authenticity, particularly regarding incorporation of administrative constraints, political dimensions, and stakeholder complexity.
3. **Scaffolding Proposition:** Optimal MPA case teaching requires calibrated, responsive scaffolding that provides sufficient support for novice administrators while progressively fading as learner expertise develops.
4. **Collaborative Construction Proposition:** Administrative judgment development depends significantly on structured collaborative processes requiring students to articulate, defend, and refine decision rationales in dialogue with diverse perspectives.
5. **Metacognitive Development Proposition:** Learning transfer from individual cases to broader administrative practice depends critically on explicit metacognitive development through structured reflection connecting specific experiences to generalizable principles.
6. **Developmental Progression Proposition:** Effective constructivist case teaching requires deliberate sequencing of increasing case complexity, decreasing instructional support, and expanding problem scope across the curriculum.
7. **Assessment Alignment Proposition:** Administrative competency development depends on assessment approaches

evaluating authentic performance on complex administrative tasks rather than simple knowledge recall or isolated theoretical understanding.

These propositions provide testable hypotheses while offering practical guidance for implementation. The framework acknowledges that these propositions may manifest differently across varied institutional contexts, student populations, and administrative specializations, requiring contextual adaptation rather than rigid application.

2.5 Framework Application

This theoretical framework provides a systematic foundation for designing, implementing, and evaluating constructivist case teaching approaches in MPA education. It informs:

- Case development through guidelines for incorporating authentic administrative complexity, designing effective scaffolding structures, and creating materials supporting collaborative knowledge construction.
- Facilitation practices by specifying instructor roles across learning process phases, identifying critical intervention points for supporting metacognitive development, and providing principles for managing productive cognitive conflict.
- Assessment design by establishing alignment between constructivist learning processes and evaluation approaches, specifying indicators of developing administrative competence, and providing criteria for evaluating both individual and collaborative dimensions.
- Curriculum development by establishing principles for progressive skill development across course sequences, identifying complementary relationships between case-based and other pedagogical approaches, and specifying infrastructure requirements for supporting sustained implementation.

This comprehensive theoretical framework integrates established constructivist principles with the specific requirements of professional public administration education, creating a foundation for systematic innovation in MPA case teaching that addresses identified implementation challenges while enhancing educational effectiveness.

3 CONSTRUCTIVIST MPA CASE TEACHING FRAMEWORK DESIGN

The constructivist framework for Master of Public Administration (MPA) case teaching represents a systematic pedagogical innovation that integrates principles from cognitive constructivism, social constructivism, and situated learning theories. This framework transcends traditional knowledge transmission paradigms by positioning MPA learners within complex administrative contexts, facilitating the dialectical integration of theoretical knowledge and practical application through meticulously designed cases and structured guidance.

3.1 Case Design Principles

Constructivist case design necessitates a delicate equilibrium between authenticity and learning feasibility, presenting the intrinsic complexity of administrative environments while providing appropriate cognitive scaffolding. The "structured design of authentic complex administrative problems" principle demands that cases retain the multidimensional characteristics of public management contexts—non-linear causal relationships, stakeholder conflicts, political considerations, resource constraints, and value conflicts—while simultaneously employing sophisticated structures to guide analytical approaches. Effective cases must contain sufficient detail to support rich interpretations while maintaining strategic ambiguities that stimulate multiple perspectives and creative thinking.

The "multi-level analysis case architecture" principle emphasizes supporting cognitive progression through layered design, from surface description to deep creation. This architecture encompasses five interconnected analytical levels: contextual analysis (identification of environmental parameters and institutional constraints), problem diagnosis (definition of key challenges and root causes), theoretical connection (identification of relevant conceptual frameworks), solution development (design of resolution pathways), and evaluative reflection (decision justification and impact prediction). Each analytical layer corresponds to different cognitive processes, forming a comprehensive knowledge construction ladder from basic identification to complex synthesis.

The "theory-practice integration narrative structure" principle seeks to bridge conceptual knowledge and practical application through multidimensional narrative. Effective case narratives integrate descriptive elements (contextual details), analytical elements (data and multiple perspectives), and reflective elements (decision points and value trade-offs), creating dialogical spaces between theory and practice. This structure may adopt various organizational forms—chronological sequences, juxtaposed perspectives, nested problems, or decision node branches—but its essence lies in generating sufficient tension to stimulate profound exploration rather than simplistic application or mere description.

The "collaborative construction supporting materials design" principle emphasizes transcending single-text narratives to construct multifaceted resource ecosystems. These include multimodal resources (charts, datasets, video segments, policy documents) supporting multisensory learning; role materials (stakeholder background information) facilitating perspective adoption; analytical tools (decision matrices, interest analysis templates) assisting systematic thinking; and reflective prompts guiding metacognitive development. These auxiliary resources should be designed as modular components, allowing instructors to configure them flexibly according to specific requirements and learner characteristics, while simultaneously cultivating selective attention capabilities in resource-rich environments.

3.2 Instructional Process Design

The constructivist MPA case teaching process disrupts traditional linear instructional models, establishing a dynamic recursive four-phase learning cycle with distinctive pedagogical emphases and scaffolding strategies at each phase, collectively promoting deep learning and professional development.

The "contextual engagement phase" functions as the initiating component, aimed at establishing authentic problem environments and activating prior knowledge. Through vivid contextual introduction (narrative presentation, video materials, or role simulation), combined with prior knowledge activation activities (brainstorming, prediction exercises, or experience sharing), an initial cognitive framework is established. This phase critically focuses on generating learning motivation and cognitive conflict, creating a "knowledge-seeking state" while avoiding premature provision of theoretical frameworks or solutions, thus preserving exploratory space. Scaffolding strategies require dynamic adjustment based on learner backgrounds — for those lacking administrative experience, enhancing situational immersion and role experiences; for experienced practitioners, increasing problem complexity and constraint conditions to ensure appropriate cognitive challenge.

The "collaborative exploration phase" transitions toward multi-perspective analysis and theoretical tool application, expanding problem understanding through structured collaborative activities. Jigsaw group techniques, debate-style dialogues, or role-playing exercises as social learning structures compel learners to examine administrative problems from diverse positions, developing multidimensional comprehension. This phase introduces theoretical framework identification and application, transforming abstract concepts into analytical tools, while simultaneously establishing connections between interpretations and evidence through assessment activities. Key scaffolds include theoretical resource lists, analytical tool templates, and guided question sequences, creating effective collaborative environments while maintaining sufficient cognitive tension.

The "integrative construction phase" focuses on solution development and theory-practice integration, transforming analysis into action. Employing design thinking methodologies and creative problem-solving techniques, targeted administrative solutions are developed and tested through multiple rounds of critical assessment (feasibility analysis, risk assessment, stakeholder reaction prediction) to evaluate solution robustness. This phase particularly emphasizes the bidirectional dialogue between theory guiding practice and practice modifying theory, avoiding the extremes of simplistic application or pure pragmatism. Core instructional activities include solution design workshops, decision simulations, and defense exercises, generating administrative solutions that are theoretically sound and practically viable.

The "reflective consolidation phase" transforms specific case learning into transferable professional competencies through systematized metacognitive activities. Structured reflective exercises guide learners in examining individual and collective knowledge construction processes, extracting abstract principles from concrete experiences, exploring cross-contextual application possibilities, and identifying further learning requirements. Balancing four reflective dimensions — descriptive (what happened), analytical (why it happened), theoretical (conceptual connections), and practical (application exploration) — forms a complete reflective cycle. Scaffolding tools include reflection journal templates, concept mapping instruments, and transfer promotion guides, assisting learners in integrating and transferring their learning.

This dynamic process does not represent a strictly linear sequence but rather allows for repetitive cycles and recursive progression in a learning spiral, with phases interpenetrating and continuously adjusting according to learning needs. Different case types (decision cases, evaluation cases, policy analysis cases) may emphasize specific phases or adjust phase sequencing, but the overall framework maintains consistency, ensuring systematic implementation of constructivist principles.

The framework delineated above provides MPA case teaching with a theoretically robust and practically viable structured design, creating learning environments that support active knowledge construction, professional competency development, and reflective practice. Through meticulously designed cases, structured learning processes, and dynamic scaffolding strategies, the framework facilitates organic integration of theoretical knowledge and administrative practice, cultivating public management professionals equipped with analytical thinking, decision-making capabilities, and professional judgment.

4 IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES AND CHALLENGE MANAGEMENT

4.1 Faculty Development System

A sophisticated faculty development system serves as the cornerstone for effective implementation of constructivist case teaching in MPA programs. The transformation from traditional instruction to constructivist facilitation necessitates systematic professional development beyond mere technical training, focusing on conceptual understanding, pedagogical skill refinement, and reflective practice cultivation. This comprehensive approach must address cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions of teaching practice.

The constructivist teaching philosophy cultivation requires multifaceted interventions designed to transform fundamental epistemic beliefs about knowledge, learning, and teaching. Structured reading seminars exploring foundational constructivist texts (Vygotsky, Dewey, Schön) combined with critical analysis of their implications for professional education provide conceptual grounding. Dialogic workshops examining the alignment between

constructivist principles and public administration competencies help faculty reconceptualize teaching goals beyond content mastery toward adaptive expertise development. Particularly effective are conceptual change exercises that surface implicit teaching assumptions, challenge transmission-oriented beliefs, and facilitate epistemological reconstruction through cognitive conflict and reflective dialogue.

Case facilitation skill development demands progressive mastery of complex teaching competencies through carefully sequenced learning experiences. Initial observation of expert modeling demonstrating constructivist facilitation techniques provides vicarious learning opportunities. Graduated practice with structured feedback—beginning with micro-teaching segments addressing specific facilitation skills (questioning techniques, cognitive conflict management, collaborative group orchestration) before progressing to complete case sessions—builds competence systematically. Targeted skill development must address four critical facilitation domains: productive questioning strategies that stimulate higher-order thinking; scaffolding techniques calibrated to learner needs; reflective dialogue facilitation promoting metacognitive development; and collaborative learning orchestration balancing structure and autonomy.

Collaborative process management capacity building acknowledges the social complexity of constructivist learning environments. Faculty require sophisticated interpersonal competencies to navigate group dynamics, manage productive conflict, ensure equitable participation, and foster psychological safety. Simulation-based training scenarios presenting challenging classroom situations (dominant participants, resistance to collaborative methods, superficial engagement) provide safe practice environments. Interpersonal skill development workshops addressing nonverbal communication, active listening, process intervention techniques, and conflict resolution strategies enhance facilitation effectiveness. Cross-disciplinary collaboration with fields experienced in process facilitation (organizational development, conflict resolution) can provide valuable expertise for this dimension.

Reflective teaching practice cultivation establishes sustainable professional growth mechanisms extending beyond formal development programs. Teaching portfolios documenting facilitation approaches, student learning evidence, and pedagogical innovations provide structured reflection opportunities. Critical friend partnerships pairing experienced constructivist practitioners with developing faculty create supportive accountability relationships fostering continuous improvement. Case teaching communities of practice meeting regularly to analyze facilitation challenges, share innovative approaches, and collectively develop solutions create collaborative learning environments modeling constructivist principles for faculty themselves. Action research projects investigating specific aspects of constructivist implementation cultivate scholarly teaching approaches connecting practice with continuing inquiry.

4.2 Case Resource Development

Sustainable implementation of constructivist case teaching requires systematic approaches to case resource development addressing both acquisition and creation dimensions. Effective case resources must balance authenticity, pedagogical utility, and alignment with constructivist learning principles.

Authentic administrative case acquisition pathways must be systematically developed through institutional relationships with public sector organizations. Formalized partnerships with government agencies, non-profit organizations, and public enterprises can establish case development collaborations yielding rich, authentic materials. Field-embedded faculty fellowships placing instructors temporarily in administrative organizations specifically for case development purposes provide immersive opportunities to document complex administrative challenges. Practitioner-in-residence programs bringing experienced administrators into academic settings as case development collaborators leverage practical expertise while ensuring pedagogical relevance. Alumni networks represent particularly valuable resources, as graduates positioned across diverse administrative contexts can provide access to authentic case situations while understanding educational requirements.

Case adaptation and development methodologies require sophisticated approaches extending beyond traditional case writing techniques. The constructivist case development framework encompasses four interconnected dimensions: situational complexity design calibrating administrative problem characteristics to learner developmental needs; multiple perspective integration representing diverse stakeholder viewpoints authentically; theoretical connection architecture embedding conceptual framework linkages without didactic explanation; and reflective engagement mechanisms incorporating prompts stimulating metacognitive processing. Development processes should include structured observation protocols for field research, narrative construction guidelines promoting appropriate ambiguity and complexity, and collaborative writing processes involving both academic and practitioner perspectives.

Case testing and refinement mechanisms must systematically evaluate cases against both pedagogical objectives and constructivist learning principles. Multi-phase testing protocols beginning with expert review (by content specialists, pedagogical experts, and practitioners), progressing through small-group student testing, and culminating in classroom implementation with comprehensive assessment provide sequential refinement opportunities. Specific evaluation criteria should include authenticity assessment (degree to which case materials reflect essential characteristics of administrative contexts); learning affordance analysis (opportunities for knowledge construction, multiple interpretations, and theory-practice integration); facilitation feasibility (structural support for constructivist teaching processes); and engagement potential (capacity to stimulate intrinsic motivation and sustained inquiry).

Case resource repository development strategies must address both technological infrastructure and knowledge management processes. Digital repositories require sophisticated metadata architectures categorizing cases along multiple dimensions (administrative domains, theoretical frameworks, competency development targets, complexity levels) enabling precise alignment with learning objectives. Knowledge management systems should capture not only

case materials but also implementation histories documenting facilitation approaches, student responses, emerging discussion themes, and assessment outcomes, creating institutional memory supporting continuous improvement. Cross-institutional collaboration networks sharing case resources, development methodologies, and implementation experiences can substantially enhance individual program capacity while promoting pedagogical innovation through diverse perspectives.

4.3 Student Adaptation Support

Effective implementation of constructivist case teaching requires systematic attention to student transition processes, as many MPA students have been predominantly educated through transmission-oriented approaches and may initially experience discomfort with constructivist expectations for active knowledge construction and collaborative learning.

Constructivist learning orientation establishes foundational understanding of new expectations and learning processes. Explicit initiation sessions introducing constructivist learning principles, case method rationales, and connections to professional practice requirements create cognitive frameworks for understanding pedagogical approaches. Expectation-setting documents articulating student and instructor roles, participation guidelines, and evaluation criteria provide reference points during transition. Particularly effective are experiential demonstrations using simplified cases to illustrate constructivist learning processes, allowing students to experience knowledge construction before theoretical explanation, embodying the constructivist principle of experience preceding abstraction.

Collaborative skill development recognizes that effective participation in constructivist learning environments requires specific competencies that cannot be assumed. Structured skill-building activities addressing active listening, perspective-taking, productive disagreement, and integrative thinking provide necessary foundations for collaborative knowledge construction. Progressive collaborative challenges beginning with structured protocols (such as think-pair-share, jigsaw techniques, or structured academic controversies) before advancing to more open formats develop capacities incrementally. Peer feedback systems focusing specifically on collaborative contributions create accountability while developing evaluative judgment. Critical for MPA contexts is explicit connection between collaborative learning processes and administrative practice requirements, highlighting transferable competencies relevant to governance roles.

Metacognitive strategy training develops students' capacities to monitor, evaluate, and regulate their own learning processes—essential skills for both constructivist learning environments and professional practice. Cognitive modeling demonstrations where instructors externalize their thinking processes during case analysis provide observable examples of expert metacognition. Structured reflection protocols guiding students through analytical processes (examining assumptions, considering alternative perspectives, evaluating evidence quality) develop systematic reflective habits. Learning journals documenting evolving understanding, questions, and insights create artifacts supporting metacognitive development while providing instructors with windows into student thinking processes. Metacognitive prompts embedded within case materials and discussions create consistent opportunities for reflective practice throughout the learning process.

Transition period support mechanisms acknowledge that adaptation to constructivist approaches requires time and may involve temporary performance decreases or emotional responses as students develop new learning strategies. Developmental assessment approaches emphasizing growth trajectories rather than absolute performance standards reduce anxiety during transition periods. Scaffolding intensity gradients progressively reducing structural support as student capability increases prevent overwhelming experiences while maintaining appropriate challenge. Individual consultation opportunities allow personalized guidance addressing specific adaptation challenges. Particularly important is normalizing the emotional dimensions of pedagogical transition—acknowledging potential frustration, uncertainty, or resistance as natural responses to fundamental learning approach changes—while maintaining clear expectations regarding ultimate participation requirements.

4.4 Institutional Support System

Sustainable implementation of constructivist case teaching requires coherent institutional support systems operating across multiple organizational levels. Isolated pedagogical innovation without structural alignment typically results in fragmented implementation and eventual regression toward traditional approaches.

Policy and procedural adjustments create formal organizational conditions supporting constructivist implementation. Teaching evaluation systems modified to recognize constructivist facilitation characteristics rather than traditional instructor behaviors ensure alignment between pedagogical innovation and professional recognition. Course design guidelines restructured to accommodate constructivist approaches—including flexible scheduling allowing extended case exploration, appropriate class size limitations supporting collaborative learning, and physical space requirements—establish necessary operational conditions. Academic policies addressing collaborative assessment, iterative assignment structures, and learning process evaluation provide formal legitimacy for constructivist approaches. Particularly important is alignment between program-level learning outcome statements and constructivist pedagogical approaches, creating coherence between declared educational objectives and instructional methods.

Resource allocation strategies determine implementation feasibility through material support provision. Faculty workload models adjusted to recognize the intensive preparation, facilitation, and assessment requirements of constructivist case teaching prevent unsustainable overload conditions. Development funding supporting case creation,

faculty training, and learning environment modifications provides necessary financial resources. Administrative support services assisting with case acquisition, copyright clearance, and material preparation reduce logistical barriers. Technology infrastructure investments supporting collaborative learning platforms, case repositories, and multimedia development enable sophisticated implementation approaches. Critical for sustainable implementation is long-term resource commitment extending beyond initial innovation periods, recognizing that pedagogical transformation requires sustained support throughout consolidation and refinement phases.

Faculty incentive systems influence motivation for pedagogical innovation adoption and persistence. Promotion and tenure criteria explicitly valuing teaching innovation and effectiveness legitimize time investment in constructivist implementation. Recognition programs highlighting exemplary constructivist teaching create visibility and status for pedagogical leadership. Research support for scholarship of teaching and learning projects investigating constructivist implementation connects pedagogical innovation with scholarly identity. Particularly effective are teaching innovation grants providing both material support and institutional recognition, especially when structured to support collaborative implementation engaging multiple faculty members simultaneously, creating communities of practice around pedagogical innovation.

Cross-departmental collaboration structures recognize that effective implementation often requires cooperation across organizational boundaries. Learning technology partnerships with instructional design specialists provide expertise for digital environment creation supporting constructivist approaches. Library collaborations facilitate access to rich case resources and supporting materials. Assessment office partnerships enable sophisticated evaluation of complex learning outcomes associated with constructivist approaches. Facilities management cooperation supports physical space reconfiguration reflecting collaborative learning requirements. These collaborative relationships should be formalized through liaison roles, joint planning processes, and shared resource commitments rather than ad hoc arrangements vulnerable to personnel changes or shifting priorities.

5 ASSESSMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

5.1 Multidimensional Assessment System

Constructivist case teaching requires assessment approaches fundamentally different from traditional evaluation methods, emphasizing complex performance, learning processes, and developmental trajectories rather than simple knowledge acquisition. Effective assessment systems must align with constructivist principles while maintaining academic rigor and providing meaningful evidence of learning outcomes.

Process and outcome assessment integration acknowledges the inseparability of learning methods and results within constructivist paradigms. Process assessment protocols tracking participation quality, collaborative contribution patterns, and knowledge construction behaviors provide insights into learning development invisible in product-focused evaluation. Analysis frameworks examining discussion transcripts for indicators of deeper learning (conceptual integration, perspective transformation, theoretical application) enable systematic evaluation of verbal participation quality. Digital analytics from online case environments capturing engagement patterns, resource utilization, and collaboration networks provide complementary process evidence. These process measures should be integrated with outcome assessments examining final products, creating comprehensive evaluation approaches that recognize both developmental trajectories and achievement endpoints.

Individual and group assessment balance recognizes the complex interrelationship between personal and collective knowledge construction in constructivist environments. Assessment architectures should include three complementary components: individual assessment components evaluating personal mastery and contribution; group assessment components evaluating collective products and knowledge advancement; and process assessment components examining the relationship between individual contributions and group outcomes. Particularly effective are segmented assessment approaches where cases begin with independent analysis (assessing individual preparation and analytical capability), progress through collaborative exploration (assessing contribution and cooperation), and conclude with both collective products and individual synthesis (assessing both shared understanding and personal integration). This multilevel approach prevents common assessment challenges including free-rider problems, individual contribution invisibility, and accountability diffusion.

Student self-assessment and peer evaluation mechanisms develop evaluative judgment while providing multidimensional performance perspectives. Structured self-assessment protocols guiding reflection on knowledge construction processes, contribution quality, and competency development build metacognitive capacity while providing insights invisible to external observers. Calibrated peer assessment systems with clear evaluation criteria, assessment training, and moderation processes leverage multiple perspectives while developing evaluative capabilities. Particularly valuable are comparative assessment approaches where students evaluate their own and peers' work against common rubrics, then analyze evaluation differences, developing sophisticated understanding of quality standards. These participatory assessment approaches embody constructivist principles by positioning evaluation itself as a knowledge construction process rather than an external judgment.

External stakeholder evaluation integration connects academic assessment with professional practice standards. Practitioner participation in case response evaluation brings authentic performance standards into academic contexts. Alumni feedback mechanisms tracking perceived relevance of case-developed competencies to administrative practice provide longitudinal impact evidence. Advisory board review of assessment approaches and results ensures alignment

with evolving field requirements. These external perspectives prevent academic insularity while reinforcing connections between educational processes and professional practice demands, particularly crucial for professional degree programs like MPA with explicit practice preparation missions.

5.2 Professional Competency Assessment Methods

The assessment of complex professional competencies developed through constructivist case teaching requires sophisticated methodologies capturing multidimensional performance beyond simple knowledge reproduction. These approaches must evaluate not merely what students know but how they can apply, integrate, and adapt knowledge in complex administrative contexts.

Decision-making capability assessment tools evaluate students' ability to make reasoned administrative judgments under conditions of complexity, uncertainty, and value pluralism characteristic of public governance contexts. Decision justification analyses examining the quality of reasoning processes, evidence utilization, stakeholder consideration, and value integration provide insights into decision architecture rather than merely evaluating outcomes. Policy choice simulations presenting complex scenarios requiring time-constrained decisions with incomplete information assess performance under authentic conditions. Particularly valuable are longitudinal decision portfolio approaches tracking decision quality evolution across multiple cases, revealing developmental trajectories in reasoning sophistication, consideration complexity, and judgment calibration. These approaches should evaluate both the process quality (how decisions are reached) and substantive quality (what is decided) against professional standards rather than predetermined "correct" answers.

Problem-solving process analysis frameworks examine students' approaches to complex, ill-structured administrative problems rather than focusing exclusively on solution quality. Problem framing assessments evaluate ability to define problem boundaries, identify stakeholders, recognize interconnections, and determine evaluation criteria—crucial initial steps often overlooked in outcome-focused assessment. Solution development tracking systems document evolutionary paths from initial concepts through refinement iterations to final proposals, revealing problem-solving strategies and adaptation capabilities. Constraint navigation evaluations assess how effectively students manage competing demands, resource limitations, and political realities in solution development. These process-oriented assessments provide insights into problem-solving approaches transferable across contexts, rather than context-specific solutions with limited generalizability.

Theoretical application capability assessment evaluates students' ability to connect abstract concepts with concrete administrative situations—a fundamental professional competency bridging academic knowledge and practical action. Concept application analyses examine how effectively students select, adapt, and integrate theoretical frameworks in case analyses. Theory elaboration evaluations assess students' capacity to extend and refine theoretical understanding through case application, recognizing new boundary conditions or contextual variations. Integration assessments examine students' ability to synthesize multiple theoretical perspectives in addressing complex administrative problems. These approaches should evaluate not merely concept recognition but transformative application demonstrating deep understanding of theoretical principles and their practical implications.

Public service value assessment addresses the normative dimensions of public administration education, recognizing that MPA programs develop not merely technical expertise but professional identity grounded in public service values. Ethical reasoning assessments evaluate students' capacity to recognize value dimensions in administrative decisions, analyze value conflicts, and develop principled resolutions. Democratic process competency evaluations assess ability to design and implement inclusive, transparent, and accountable administrative practices. Social equity analyses examine students' consideration of distributional impacts, representation concerns, and structural barriers in administrative recommendations. These normative assessments recognize that constructivist case teaching develops not merely cognitive capabilities but professional identity and value commitments essential to public administration practice.

6 THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

6.1 Theoretical Contributions

The integration of constructivist learning principles with MPA case teaching generates significant theoretical contributions extending beyond specific educational applications to broader understanding of professional education, knowledge construction processes, and administrative competency development.

Constructivist theory adaptive extension in MPA education contexts refines understanding of how constructivist principles manifest in professional degree programs with their distinctive characteristics—adult learners with varying professional experience, practice-oriented curricula, and complex competency development objectives. The theoretical framework articulates how social constructivist principles require adaptation when applied to administrative education, particularly regarding the integration of practitioners' experiential knowledge, the management of power dynamics in classroom knowledge construction, and the navigation of value dimensions implicit in administrative learning contexts. This extension contributes to constructivist learning theory by elaborating context-specific manifestations of general principles, moving beyond generic applications toward nuanced understanding of constructivism in professional education environments.

Professional practice education theoretical framework integration addresses fundamental challenges in professional preparation by synthesizing previously disparate theoretical traditions. The framework bridges constructivist learning theory, reflective practice perspectives, situated cognition approaches, and administrative competency models, creating an integrated theoretical foundation for professional education design. Particularly significant is the articulation of how case-based learning environments can simultaneously develop theoretical understanding, practical judgment, technical skill, and professional identity through carefully structured knowledge construction processes. This theoretical integration contributes to ongoing discourse regarding theory-practice relationships in professional education, offering conceptual tools for understanding how abstract knowledge becomes transformed into practice capability through structured learning experiences.

Case teaching methodology conceptual development significantly advances theoretical understanding of case pedagogy beyond descriptive approaches. The framework distinguishes constructivist case teaching from alternative case methodologies (including decision-focused, illustration-oriented, and concept-application approaches), articulating distinctive epistemological assumptions, learning processes, and instructional methods. By conceptualizing cases as knowledge construction environments rather than merely decision scenarios or illustration vehicles, the framework provides theoretical grounding for innovative case designs incorporating multiple perspectives, strategic ambiguity, and reflective infrastructure. This conceptual development contributes to case teaching literature by establishing theoretical foundations for diverse methodological approaches, moving discourse beyond technique-focused discussions toward fundamental educational philosophy considerations.

Public administration education pedagogical theory enhancement addresses persistent challenges in administrative preparation through sophisticated theoretical frameworks connecting educational methods with administrative competency development. The constructivist framework articulates how specific learning processes (collaborative knowledge construction, reflective practice, authentic problem-solving) develop administrative capabilities (judgment under uncertainty, stakeholder perspective integration, adaptive expertise) essential for contemporary governance contexts. By establishing theoretical connections between pedagogical approaches and professional competencies, the framework enhances understanding of how educational interventions translate into administrative capability development. This theoretical enhancement contributes to public administration education discourse by providing conceptual tools for analyzing pedagogical effectiveness beyond satisfaction measures or simple knowledge acquisition metrics.

6.2 Practical Contributions

The constructivist MPA case teaching framework generates significant practical contributions directly applicable to educational program development, instructor preparation, and administrative competency cultivation, addressing persistent challenges in public administration education.

The MPA case teaching implementation guide provides systematic, theoretically-grounded, yet practically feasible guidelines for constructivist case teaching application across diverse institutional contexts. The implementation framework addresses critical dimensions including case selection criteria ensuring appropriate complexity calibration; discussion facilitation protocols balancing structure and emergent exploration; question sequencing strategies promoting progressive cognitive development; collaborative activity designs fostering interdependent knowledge construction; and assessment approaches aligning with constructivist learning processes. Particularly valuable are the phase-specific facilitation guidelines detailing instructor actions, potential challenges, and intervention strategies across different implementation stages. This practical framework enables MPA programs to implement constructivist approaches systematically rather than through isolated techniques, creating coherent learning environments supporting complex competency development.

Faculty development strategy frameworks provide comprehensive approaches for developing the sophisticated facilitation capabilities required for effective constructivist case teaching. The developmental progression model articulates how instructors transition from transmission-oriented to constructivist teaching through sequential stages (awareness, experimentation, integration, innovation), identifying stage-appropriate development interventions and support mechanisms. Particularly useful are the facilitation skills taxonomies detailing specific competencies within key domains (questioning techniques, scaffolding strategies, reflective dialogue facilitation, collaborative process management) with corresponding development activities and assessment approaches. The mentoring program design guidelines outline structured approaches for pairing experienced constructivist practitioners with developing faculty, including observation protocols, co-teaching arrangements, and reflective dialogue structures. These faculty development frameworks provide practical pathways for instructor capacity building essential for sustainable implementation.

Institutional support system design frameworks outline practical approaches for creating organizational environments supporting sustained constructivist implementation. The policy alignment audit tool identifies inconsistencies between institutional policies and constructivist implementation requirements, providing systematic methods for addressing structural barriers. Resource allocation models detail faculty workload, classroom requirements, and support service needs for constructivist case teaching, enabling realistic implementation planning. Particularly valuable are the change management protocols addressing resistance sources, stakeholder engagement strategies, and implementation phasing approaches, recognizing that pedagogical innovation requires not merely technical change but cultural transformation. These institutional frameworks enable programs to create sustainable conditions for pedagogical innovation rather than

relying on isolated faculty champions vulnerable to burnout and organizational resistance. Assessment tool and methodological innovations provide practical approaches for evaluating complex learning outcomes associated with constructivist case teaching. The multidimensional assessment framework integrates process and product evaluation across individual and collaborative dimensions, including specific protocols for discussion analysis, collaborative contribution assessment, and reflective practice evaluation. Particularly useful are the professional judgment assessment rubrics detailing developmental progression across key competency dimensions (problem framing, stakeholder integration, evidence utilization, value consideration), providing concrete evaluation tools for complex administrative capabilities. The portfolios assessment approach outlines structured methods for documenting and evaluating longitudinal development across multiple cases, capturing developmental trajectories invisible in single-point assessments. These assessment innovations enable programs to evaluate sophisticated learning outcomes aligned with professional practice demands, moving beyond knowledge reproduction measures toward authentic performance assessment.

7 CONCLUSION

The integration of constructivist learning principles with MPA case teaching represents a promising approach for addressing persistent challenges in public administration education — particularly the theory-practice gap, the development of sophisticated professional judgment, and the cultivation of adaptive expertise essential for contemporary governance contexts. The theoretical framework and practical implementation guidelines presented in this research provide a comprehensive foundation for pedagogical innovation while acknowledging the substantive challenges involved in transforming educational approaches.

The key findings from this research underscore several critical dimensions of constructivist case teaching implementation. First, effective constructivist approaches require systematic integration across multiple dimensions—case design, facilitation processes, assessment methods, and institutional support structures—rather than isolated technique application. Second, the development of faculty capacity represents the most significant implementation challenge, requiring sophisticated professional development addressing not merely teaching techniques but fundamental epistemological perspectives and professional identity. Third, student adaptation to constructivist learning environments necessitates structured support mechanisms addressing collaborative skills, metacognitive strategies, and transitional challenges as learners adjust to new expectations. Fourth, institutional alignment between pedagogical innovation and organizational systems (policies, resources, incentives, evaluation methods) determines long-term sustainability beyond individual champion efforts.

The research limitations necessarily constrain generalizability and application scope. The theoretical framework, while comprehensive, requires contextual adaptation for specific institutional environments, student populations, and administrative specializations. The implementation guidelines, though detailed, cannot address all possible challenges emerging in diverse educational settings with varying resource constraints and organizational cultures. Furthermore, the long-term impacts of constructivist approaches on administrative practice capabilities require longitudinal research beyond current evidence limitations. These constraints suggest the need for continued refinement through varied implementation contexts and extended assessment timeframes.

Future research directions should address several promising areas for framework expansion and validation. Empirical studies systematically comparing constructivist and traditional case teaching approaches could provide comparative effectiveness evidence across different learning outcomes and student populations. Implementation research examining varying institutional contexts could identify critical success factors and adaptation requirements across diverse program environments. Longitudinal studies tracking graduates into administrative practice could assess the durability and transferability of capabilities developed through constructivist approaches. Technological integration research could explore how digital tools might enhance constructivist implementation through sophisticated collaboration platforms, simulation environments, and assessment technologies.

The implications for MPA education reform extend beyond specific teaching methodologies to fundamental questions about professional preparation approaches. The constructivist framework challenges dominant transmission-oriented paradigms by reconceptualizing administrative education as the development of reflective judgment rather than technical knowledge acquisition. This perspective suggests broader curricular implications including progressive competency development sequencing, integration of reflective practice throughout program structures, and authentic assessment approaches aligned with professional practice demands. By positioning MPA students as active knowledge constructors rather than passive recipients, constructivist approaches foster the adaptive expertise, critical thinking capabilities, and professional judgment essential for effective public administration in increasingly complex governance environments.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

REFERENCES

- [1] Guo C B, Fang C C, Zhu J. Does case teaching promote the development of practical ability of professional degree graduates? — An empirical analysis based on the survey data of Master of Public Administration graduates nationwide. *Academic Exploration*, 2022, (9): 44-53. DOI: 10.16750/j.adge.2022.09.007.
- [2] Chen N, Gao L L. The dilemma and optimization strategies of case teaching in MPA postgraduate training. *Education Informatization Forum*, 2024, (6): 87-89.
- [3] Zhang C P, Wang W B. From case analysis to simulation decision-making: Research on MPA case teaching in the era of big data. *Education Observation*, 2021, 10(5): 110-114. DOI: 10.16070/j.cnki.cn45-1388/g4s.2021.05.034.
- [4] Shan F F. Challenges and thoughts on promoting MPA case teaching in the new situation. *Graduate Education*, 2021, (1): 17-21. DOI: 10.16750/j.adge.2021.01.004.
- [5] Song H, Pan X. Theoretical foundation and application of case teaching in the new era—Based on ontology and constructivist perspectives. *Management Case Studies and Reviews*, 2023, 16(5): 659-667. DOI: 10.16750/j.adge.2023.05.009.
- [6] Zhang T, Dai Q, Li C L. Understanding and applying Piaget's constructivist theory from the perspective of mathematics teaching. *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 2024, 33(3): 96-102. DOI: 10.16750/j.adge.2024.03.0096.
- [7] Xiao X X, Luo T Q. Research on the application of constructivist learning theory in C language teaching. *Journal of Educational Science of Hunan Normal University*, 2012, 11(6): 100-103.
- [8] Chao Y Y, Zhang Z G. Flipped classroom: Management case teaching model design—Based on constructivist learning theory. *University Education*, 2016, (2): 20-21.
- [9] Li L J. Exploration of the case teaching mode of "Tourism Service Management" course based on constructivist theory. *China Forestry Education*, 2020, 38(S1): 9-12.
- [10] Fan Y M. Research on the practice of all-case teaching for Master of Public Administration—Experience and thoughts on MPA cultivation at Renmin University of China. *Theory and Practice of Education*, 2019, 39(9): 12-14.
- [11] Liu X G, Wei M, Pei B. Exploration of the "unity of knowledge and practice" case teaching model for MPA graduate students—Based on the practice of Nanjing Agricultural University. *Higher Agricultural Education*, 2021, 4: 123-127. DOI: 10.13839/j.cnki.hae.2021.04.024.
- [12] Tan X L. Exploration and practice of double helix embedded case teaching from the perspective of constructivism—Taking Master of Public Administration as an example. *Science and Technology Wind*, 2023, (17): 51-54. DOI: 10.19392/j.cnki.1671-7341.202317017.
- [13] Jin S S. Difficulties and countermeasures of popularizing MPA case teaching. *Education Teaching Forum*, 2021, (13): 131-134.
- [14] Pei H S, Gou Y, Fu S Y, Zhao Z Z. Research on the construction of high-quality MPA case teaching faculty—Based on the practice of MPA education at Henan University of Economics and Law. *Henan Finance Journal*, 2024, 38(5): 79-82.
- [15] Li Y L. Theoretical and practical research on case teaching method in public administration teaching. *University Education Management*, 2016, 10(2): 103-108. DOI: 10.13316/j.cnki.jhem.20160223.019.